NATIONAL REPORTER SYSTEM

West Publishing Co., St. Paul MN

N.B. Care in supplying <u>complete and accurate information</u> will expedite correct publication of the opinion and save you from the annoyance of future queries.

ATTACH A FRONT SHEET TO EACH CASE!

COMPLETE TITLE OF CASE:	
STATE OF MISSOURI,	
v.	Respondent
LARRY DONNELL SIMMS.	Appellant
DOCKET NUMBER WD78467	
MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS WESTERN DISTRICT	
DATE: October 11, 2016	
Appeal From:	
Circuit Court of Jackson County, MO The Honorable Robert Michael Schieber, Judge	
Appellate Judges:	
Division One Anthony Rex Gabbert, P.J., Thomas H. Newton, and Alok Ahuja,	
Attorneys:	
Stephen Bradley Willibey, Kansas City, MO, Counsel for Appellant	
Attorneys:	
Rachel Sara Flaster, Jefferson City, MO Counsel for Respondent	

MISSOURI APPELLATE COURT OPINION SUMMARY MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS, WESTERN DISTRICT

STATE OF MISSOURI, Respondent, v. LARRY DONNELL SIMMS, Appellant

WD78467 Jackson County

Before Division One Judges: Anthony Rex Gabbert, P.J., Thomas H. Newton, and Alok Ahuja, JJ.

Larry Donnell Simms appeals the circuit court's judgment finding him guilty of one count of domestic assault in the first degree, armed criminal action, unlawful use of a weapon, domestic assault in the second degree and assault in the third degree. Simms raises two points on appeal. First, Simms argues that the circuit court failed to ascertain his waiver of jury trial on the record in open court as required by both the Missouri and the United States Constitutions. Next, Simms argues that the trial court exceeded its jurisdiction and violated his due process right to be notified of his charges by finding him guilty of the class A felonies of domestic assault in the first degree and armed criminal action.

AFFIRMED

Division One holds: The trial court did not err in finding that Simms' waiver was knowingly, voluntarily and intelligently made. The trial court did not err in sentencing Simms to the class A felony because the State gave Simms adequate notice and the supporting evidence was sufficient to support a finding of guilt.

Opinion by Anthony Rex Gabbert, Judge Date: October 11, 2016

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

THIS SUMMARY IS UNOFFICIAL AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.